



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/803,630		03/09/2001	Patrick Warner	5451.23	7879	
20686	7590	10/07/2003		EXAMINER		
DORSEY &	& WHIT	NEY, LLP	CROW, STEPHEN R			
INTELLEC	TUAL PI	ROPERTY DEPARTM	MENT			
370 SEVEN	TEENTH	1 STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 4700)		3764			
DENVER,	CO 802	02-5647		DATE MAIL ED. 10/07/2003		

10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/803	3,630	WARNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summai	ry Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Steve	R Crow	3764	
The MAILING DATE of this con Period for Reply	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addi	'ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMI - Extensions of time may be available under the pro- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi - If the period for reply specified above is less than i	MUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no is communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within the mum statutory period will apply an or reply will, by statute, cause the nonths after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
1)☐ Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on			
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	ı is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the				merits is
Disposition of Claims	,	, , , , , , ,	,	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-40</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	_ is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-40</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to r	restriction and/or electio	n requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a)□ accepted or b)	objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that an			, ,	
11) The proposed drawing correctio			disapproved by the Examiner.	,
If approved, corrected drawings a	• • •	Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is object	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a		under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None				
1. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents have b	een received.		
2. Certified copies of the pr	iority documents have b	een received in	Application No	
	International Bureau (Po	CT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreion 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cl		• •		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-	
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Sum	mary	Part of Pa	aper No. 10

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/803,630

Art Unit: 3764

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 2. Claims 32-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-73 of U.S. Patent No. 6557,679. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of their common discloses and the pending claims are met by the patented claims.
- 3. Claims 32-40 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 65575,961,424679. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of their common discloses and the pending claims are met by the patented claims.
- 4. Claims 32-40 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 of copending Application No. 10/093326. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are

Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

not patentably distinct from each other because of their common disclosures and similar Belleville washer embodiments.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve R Crow whose telephone number is 703-308-3398. The examiner can normally be reached on Reg:8:30-6;Off First Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 703-308-2698. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-306-4520 for regular communications and 703-306-4520 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0873.

sc

September 30, 2003

STEPHEN R. CROW PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 332

Scrow